

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/563,851</p>	<p>Applicant(s) RORIG ET AL.</p>	
<p>Examiner JACOB J. CIGNA</p>	<p>Art Unit 3726</p>		

All Participants:

(1) JACOB J. CIGNA

(2) Charles Musserlain

Date of Interview: 20 May 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:45pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Rejection of Claim 1 over prior art in general, and 35 USC 112.

Claims discussed:
1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:
None specifically

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner asked Attorney to combine claims 1 and 2 into a single claim, and to fix the 112 error in claim 1.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)